

This article was downloaded by:

On: 25 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Separation Science and Technology

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713708471>

### A Modified Random Walk Method for Plate Height Calculations

K. De Clerk<sup>a</sup>; T. S. Buys<sup>ab</sup>

<sup>a</sup> DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL AND THEORETICAL CHEMISTRY, UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA, PRETORIA, REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of South Africa, Pretoria, Republic of South Africa

**To cite this Article** De Clerk, K. and Buys, T. S.(1972) 'A Modified Random Walk Method for Plate Height Calculations', Separation Science and Technology, 7: 6, 653 — 658

**To link to this Article:** DOI: 10.1080/00372367208057974

**URL:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00372367208057974>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

## NOTE

# A Modified Random Walk Method for Plate Height Calculations

---

K. DE CLERK and T. S. BUYS\*

CHROMATOGRAPHIC RESEARCH UNIT OF THE SOUTH AFRICAN COUNCIL  
FOR SCIENTIFIC AND INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH  
DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL AND THEORETICAL CHEMISTRY  
UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA  
PRETORIA, REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

### Summary

A modified random walk method, based on the Aris integrals, has been formulated for the evaluation of plate height contributions. The method is simple to apply, yet yields values in exact correspondence with that obtained from Giddings' nonequilibrium theory.

### INTRODUCTION

The two main methods currently available for the calculation of plate heights in chromatography are the generalized nonequilibrium (GNE) theory and the simple random walk (SRW) approach. Their relative merits are adequately discussed by Giddings (1). The GNE theory was developed specifically to handle the important mass-transfer terms in the plate height equation, i.e., the terms of the form  $C'a^2u/D_R$ ,

\* Present address: Department of Chemistry, University of South Africa, Pretoria, Republic of South Africa.

where  $C' =$  constant dependent on the geometry, the flow profile, and the mass distribution coefficient  $k$ ,  $a =$  characteristic length,  $u =$  average longitudinal flow velocity, and  $D_R =$  column radial dispersion coefficient. The crucial shortcoming of the SRW theory is its inability to yield exact  $C'$  values. The modified random walk (MRW) approach described below represents an attempt to remedy this deficiency and is based on the recognition of the possibility of interpreting the integrals derived by Aris (2) in terms of a random walk mechanism.

### THEORY

It has been shown previously (3) that the effective longitudinal diffusion coefficient  $D_e$  which is related to the local plate height  $H$  by

$$H = 2D_e(1 + k)/u \quad (1)$$

is the product of three factors

$$D_e = \Lambda \cdot v^2 \cdot \Delta t \quad (2)$$

where  $v$  is the effective axial velocity of the random walk relative to the mean zone velocity during the time  $\Delta t$ , i.e., the average time required to complete a single random walk step.  $\Lambda$  is a multiplicative constant which includes the conditional transition probability. In the present treatment  $\Lambda$  will fill the role of an adjustable empirical constant and will be required to absorb the proportionality constant in the formulations of  $v$  and  $\Delta t$ . This semiempirical approach has been adopted since there is, in theories of a probabilistic nature, always the danger of fortuitous numerical agreement based on fallacious reasoning. In short, the method employed will consist of casting the Aris integrals, for the specific case of the coated capillary, in the form defined by Eq. (2). This automatically fixes the value of  $\Lambda$  in the subsequent generalizations of these integrals.

The resistance to mass-transfer contributions to the effective diffusion coefficient arising from nonequilibrium in the mobile and stationary phases have been formulated by Aris (2) as

$$D_{em} = \int_0^{r_1} (1 + k) \frac{r_1^2 dr}{2rD_R} \left\{ \int_0^r \frac{1}{(1 + k)} \cdot \frac{2\pi r' dr'}{\pi r_1^2} \left[ u(r') - \frac{u}{1 + k} \right] \right\}^2 \quad (3)$$

and

$$D_{es} = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{(1+k)}{r} \cdot \frac{(r_2^2 - r_1^2)}{2rD_R} \left\{ \int_r^{r_2} \frac{k}{1+k} \cdot \frac{2\pi r' dr'}{\pi(r_2^2 - r_1^2)} \left[ u(r') - \frac{u}{1+k} \right] \right\}^2 \quad (4)$$

where the integrals have been rearranged to facilitate a random walk interpretation.

It is obvious that these equations represent summations over all radial elements of thickness  $\Delta r$  in which resistance to radial mass transfer is experienced. This implies that the cross section is supposed to consist of a superposition of cylindrical shells of thickness  $\Delta r$ , each of which may be considered as an independent source of band broadening. The plate height contribution of an arbitrary element may thus be computed by allowing  $D_R \rightarrow \infty$  in all regions except the one under consideration. This assumption corresponds to the additivity theorem in Giddings' work. Despite its intuitive plausibility, a rigorous proof is still lacking. An important corollary of this "theorem" is the possibility of treating the mobile and stationary phases as separate entities. Consider first a contribution from a single  $\Delta r$  element in the mobile phase. The factor

$$\Delta t_m = (1+k) \frac{r_1^2 \Delta r}{4rD_R} \quad (5)$$

is seen to be of the order of the time required by a number of molecules, equal to that contained in the cross section, to have diffused through the barrier presented by the element of thickness  $\Delta r$ . This follows since the time for a  $\delta$  distribution to spread out into a Gaussian, with standard deviation  $\sigma = \Delta r$ , is given by

$$\Delta t = (\Delta r)^2 / 2D_R \quad (6)$$

This would apply to molecules initially located within  $\Delta r$ ; the time required for all the molecules within the cross-sectional slice to have been scattered by diffusion within  $\Delta r$  to either the inner or the outer side of  $\Delta r$  will be larger by a factor of the order  $\pi r_1^2(1+k)/(2\pi r \Delta r)$ . Similarly the  $\Delta t$  time for a stationary phase element is found to be of the order

$$\Delta t_s = \frac{(1+k)}{k} \cdot \frac{(r_2^2 - r_1^2) \Delta r}{4rD_R} \quad (7)$$

The factor

$$v_m = \int_0^r \frac{1}{(1+k)} \cdot \frac{\pi r^2}{\pi r_1^2} \cdot \frac{2\pi r' dr'}{\pi r^2} \left[ u(r') - \frac{u}{1+k} \right] \quad (8)$$

in Eq. (3) will now be interpreted as expressing the effective axial velocity  $v_m$  of the random walk during the time  $\Delta t_m$ . The  $v$  is seen to be an average velocity relative to the mean solute velocity,  $u/(1+k)$ , weighted at each  $r$  by the probability of finding a representative molecule in the mobile phase on that particular side of  $\Delta r$ . The total random walk is symmetrical so that it should be immaterial whether the effective velocity is taken in the inner or the outer regions (relative to  $\Delta r$ ). That this is indeed the case is seen by explicit formulation of  $v$  for the outer region. Following the arguments outlined above, this yields

$$v_m = \int_0^{r_1} dv_m + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} dv_s - \int_0^r dv_m \quad (9)$$

where  $dv_m$  follows from Eq. (8). The  $dv_s$  is similarly defined by the expression for the effective random walk velocity relative to a stationary phase element [see Eq. (4)]:

$$\begin{aligned} v_s &= \int_r^{r_2} dv_s \\ &= \int_r^{r_2} \frac{k}{(1+k)} \cdot \frac{\pi(r_2^2 - r^2)}{\pi(r_2^2 - r_1^2)} \cdot \frac{2\pi r' dr'}{\pi(r_2^2 - r^2)} \left[ u(r') - \frac{u}{1+k} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The sum of the first two integrals in Eq. (9) is zero, however, since all velocities are taken relative to the mean solute velocity  $u/(1+k)$ . Since the velocities are squared in the final expression for  $D_e$ , the difference in sign is irrelevant, and it is thus immaterial whether the effective velocity of the inner or the outer region is taken. For mobile phase elements, the inner velocity is more convenient whereas the outer is more appropriate for elements located in the stationary phase. Comparison of the above results with the exact expressions, Eqs. (3) and (4), fixes the value of  $\Lambda$  at  $\Lambda = 2$ .

## DISCUSSION

The results for the coated capillary outlined above may now readily be generalized to other chromatographic situations. In order to check

TABLE 1

| Model                     | $k\Delta t/1+k$  | $(1+k) v_s/k$   | $H$  |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|
| Uniform film of depth $d$ | $\frac{d}{\Delta y} \cdot \frac{(\Delta y)^2}{2D_s}$                           | $\int_0^x - \frac{u dx}{(1+k)d}$  | $\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{k}{(1+k)^2} \cdot \frac{u d^2}{D_s}$  |
| Cylinder of radius $a$    | $\frac{\pi a^2}{2\pi r \Delta r} \cdot \frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2D_s}$              | $\int_0^r - \frac{u}{(1+k)} \cdot \frac{2\pi r' dr'}{\pi a^2}$                | $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{k}{(1+k)^2} \cdot \frac{u a^2}{D_s}$  |
| Sphere of radius $a$      | $\frac{\frac{4}{3}\pi a^3}{4\pi r^2 \Delta r} \cdot \frac{(\Delta r)^2}{2D_s}$ | $\int_0^r - \frac{u}{(1+k)} \cdot \frac{4\pi (r')^2 dr'}{\frac{4}{3}\pi a^3}$ | $\frac{2}{15} \cdot \frac{k}{(1+k)^2} \cdot \frac{u a^2}{D_s}$ |

for the consistency of the MRW method, a variety of stationary phase models was considered and compared with that of the GNE theory. The results are summarized in Table 1. In all cases exact correspondence was obtained. (A value of  $\Lambda = 2$  was used in all the calculations.)

CONCLUSION

The MRW method presents an alternative method for the calculation of the mass-transfer terms in the plate height equation. Its advantages are simplicity and a direct link with the underlying physical processes. At present it is formally less satisfying than the GNE theory; the *ab initio* calculation of the  $\Lambda$  factor will have to await a more sophisticated treatment. Its main application is envisaged as an aid in the development of more reliable approximate methods for plate height calculations.

SYMBOLS

- $a$  characteristic length (e.g., radius of cylinder)
- $C'$  parameter in mass-transfer term
- $D_o$  effective longitudinal diffusion coefficient
- $D_{em}$  mobile phase contribution to  $D_e$
- $D_{es}$  stationary phase contribution to  $D_e$
- $D_R$  column radial dispersion coefficient

|        |  |
|--------|--|
| $D_s$  | stationary phase diffusion coefficient                               |
| $H$    | plate height   |
| $k$    | mass distribution coefficient  |
| $r$    | radial coordinate  |
| $r_1$  | radius of mobile phase region of coated capillary                    |
| $r_2$  | inside radius of empty chromatographic column                        |
| $t$    | time   |
| $u$    | average longitudinal carrier flow velocity                           |
| $u(r)$ | radial velocity profile  |
| $v$    | effective axial velocity of random walk                              |
| $v_m$  | $v$ for mobile phase   |
| $v_s$  | $v$ for stationary phase   |
| $y$    | coordinate measuring depth of stationary phase in uniform film model |
| $A$    | multiplicative constant [Eq. (2)]                                    |

## REFERENCES

1. J. C. Giddings, *Dynamics of Chromatography*, Part I, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1965.
2. R. Aris, *Proc. Roy. Soc., Ser. A*, 252, 538 (1959).
3. K. de Clerk, D.Sc. Thesis, Univ. of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa, 1966.

Received by editor March 6, 1972